

The Rufford Small Grants Foundation

Final Report

Congratulations on the completion of your project that was supported by The Rufford Small Grants Foundation.

We ask all grant recipients to complete a Final Report Form that helps us to gauge the success of our grant giving. We understand that projects often do not follow the predicted course but knowledge of your experiences is valuable to us and others who may be undertaking similar work. Please be as honest as you can in answering the questions – remember that negative experiences are just as valuable as positive ones if they help others to learn from them.

Please complete the form in English and be as clear and concise as you can. We will ask for further information if required. If you have any other materials produced by the project, particularly a few relevant photographs please send these to us separately.

Please submit your final report to jane@rufford.org.

Thank you for your help.

Josh Cole, Grants Director

Grant Recipient Details	
Your name	Heng Hong
Project title	Giant Ibis and White-Shouldered Ibis Endangered Species Conservation Using Conduct Code and Field Patrolling in Lumphat Wildlife Sanctuary, Rattanakiri Cambodia
RSG reference	16523-1
Reporting period	February 2015-February 2016
Amount of grant	£5000
Your email address	henghongmom1973@gmail.com
Date of this report	February 2016

1. Please indicate the level of achievement of the project's original objectives and include any relevant comments on factors affecting this.

Objective	Not achieved	Partially achieved	Fully achieved	Comments
<p>1. To organise a local consultation meeting with local community committees and key stakeholders about the new project on giant ibis and white-shouldered ibis conservation</p>			<p>✓</p>	<p>A local consultation meeting was conducted at the Sereymongle Commune Station, Konmom District Mundulkiri Province on 2nd May 2015 with the active participation of local community committees, local authorities and a Lumphat Wildlife Sanctuary director. The total participants was 23 (list of attendees attached with report).</p> <p>The objective of the meeting was to inform local community committees and key stakeholders about the new project on giant ibis and white-shouldered ibis conservation and protection in the community forest area.</p> <p>First of all, Mr Heng Hong, project team leader, introduced to the meeting about the Rufford Foundation (UK) focus on giant ibis and white-shouldered ibis protection and conservation in the community forest area. The project is over a 1 year period from February 2015 to February 2016. The objective of the project was to make a partial contribution to the improvement of protecting and conserving the community forestry, and especially the two critically endangered species of the giant ibis and white-shouldered ibis within the community forest area in the Lumphat Wildlife Sanctuary through the community patrolling team and collaboration with local authorities and institutional officers. Hong added that we have produced two logos (signboards) of the project with written giant ibis and white-shouldered ibis conservation which fund supported by the Rufford Foundation. The logo has designed with giant ibis and white-shouldered ibis picture in order to attract the community members and other people to more participate in the project and more participate in giant ibis and white-shouldered ibis conservation and protection.</p> <p>During that time, Mr Min Srun, Chief of Sereymongle Commune, on behalf of the local</p>

			<p>authorities said that it was a good opportunity for the community to improve forest management and wildlife conservation in the community areas. He expressed his impression that the Rufford Foundation would be good more participation from the community members and stakeholders in managing and protecting the forest resources and all kind of birds, especially the giant ibis and white-shouldered ibis in the community areas. On behalf of the local leader and local community, he wants to see all of us and community members more and more participation in forest resources management and wildlife conservation. At the end of the meeting, Mr Srun recommended for community committees must arranged monthly and yearly activities in order to meet the purpose of the project. He added that on behalf the local, authorities he will cooperate, intervene and and support the community activities.</p> <p>At that time, Mr Nou Meng, chief of community committee said that the goal of the project was similar to the community goal and activity. He thought that with incentive supporting from the project, it will be good for the community activities implementation and it will be better for improvement forest resources and giant ibis and white-shouldered ibis in the community forest areas as well the LWS.</p>
<p>2. To promote community members (five villages) awareness of the project implementation on giant ibis and white shouldered ibis conservation in the community forest</p>		✓	<p>After the first meeting, the community committee members, sub-committee members and village chief (the five village chief) were disseminated community members about the new project on the giant ibis and white shouldered ibis conservation which supported by the Rufford Small Grant. The main objective of the dissemination was more inform to community members (five villages) to know about new project on giant ibis and white shouldered ibis conservation in community forest areas and especially, to appeal the community member to participate in the project activities/goal in order to conserve/protect natural resources for their and also for young generation.</p>

<p>3. Produced two project signboards showing giant ibis and white-shouldered ibis conservation</p>		✓	<p>We produced two project signboards. The signboard contains the name of project, name of donor (funded by the Rufford), year, duration and location.</p> <p>One signboard was erected in the community forest area and another signboard was erected along the road in front of the community station. It was easily visible to the community members or the public. However, the two signboards are not enough for erecting to the public because the community forest land and the village are large areas. We need to more produce the signboards in order to erect in the community forest area, the village and other public areas because the signboard are very important for community members and public to understand the necessary of forest resources, giant ibis and white-shouldered ibis and other natural resources for their living and their livelihoods both in the present and the future.</p>
<p>4. Interviews with local community members, field visited to see project site and project signboard</p>		✓	<p>We interviewed community members and villagers to know information the giant ibis and white-shouldered ibis in their community forest and in cropping rice field and about their interested in giant ibis and white-shouldered ibis protection and conservation. The villagers said that they very often see the giant ibis and white-shouldered ibis in the community forest areas and in their cropping rice field. They have expressed their willingness to protect and conserve all natural resources in the community forest because they thought that if their community were available such as natural resources, cultural and social environment will attract tourist to visit their community to provide net benefits and to address the needs of community members for their livelihoods.</p> <p>We also visited the project site to see the general situation of community forest and to see the natural lakes/pond and other areas in the community forest where play very importance role for providing many services for wildlife such natural habitat, natural food and natural nest. At that time, we saw the project signboard was erected along the road in the community forest area which is shown to the public about the giant ibis and white-shouldered ibis conservation and protection.</p>

<p>5. To share experience in local and national meeting</p>		<p>✓</p>	<p>From 8th – 9th September, 2015, two community committees (Mr.Nou Meng, chief, Mr Lay Pok, vice chief of community committee member) were attended the sub-national meeting on local people participation in REDD+ and natural resources management at Kratie province. The meeting was organised by the Cambodian REDD+ Secretariat. The organisers supported only one participant (costs of transportation, meals and accommodation) and another one participant was supported by the project. During that time, they had shared and disseminated about the importance of natural resources and presences of the giant ibis and white shouldered ibis in their community forest areas.</p> <p>-On 4th November, 2015, Mr Nou Meng, chief of the community, participated in the National workshop on National Protected Areas Strategic Management Plan (NPASMP) at Tonle Basac II Restaurant in Phnom Penh city. The workshop was organised by the Ministry of Environment (MoE). All expenses were responsibility from the organisers such as transportation, meals and accommodation. During that time, Mr Nou Meng, had jointed with the local community group discussion. He shared about the importance of project that supports the community activities. For instance, his community was supported by the Rufford Conservation for 1 year (February 2015-February 2016) with incentive monthly salary for the community committee members (patrol team) and has produced two signboards showing giant ibis, white shouldered ibis and forest conservation. One signboard was erected in the community forest area and another one signboard was erected along the road in front of the community station. Mr Nou Meng added that the project is very important although short or long term support because it encourages the community members and local authorities more and more participation in protection and conservation natural resources in the community areas.</p> <p>-On 26th February 2016, Miss Sok Khim, administration and extension of community was participated in the National Meeting on "Our</p>
---	--	----------	---

			<p>Community, Our Hope". The meeting was organised by the Ministry of Environment. The organisers were supported only (meal for transportation and accommodation) supported by the project. That topic more discussed about natural resources management, local livelihood improvement. During that time, she shared about the community protect both forest resources and wildlife in the community forest not only present but for next generation, especially giant ibis and white shouldered ibis that representative bird for the country "Animals as National Symbols of Cambodia". She said that in her community had supported by the Rufford Conservation 1 year (February 2015-February 2016) which more focus on forest resources management and giant ibis and white shouldered ibis. She added that the project is very important for encouragement local community to more and more participate in natural resources management.</p>
<p>6. To organise a consultation workshop and interview on evaluation and reflection of the project</p>		<p>✓</p>	<p>On 9th February 2016, I (Heng Hong) project team leader and colleagues of the project organised the consultation workshop on evaluation and reflection of the project in a Buddhism Pagoda in the middle of the community. The workshop attended by stakeholders such as community members, local authorities and local intuitions (rangers and provincial department of environment). Total of participants was 45 (list of attendees attached with report). During that time, Mr Heng Hong presented the importance of project. Mr Hong added that in the community forest have endangered bird species (giant ibis and white shouldered ibis) that representative bird for country "Animals as National Symbols of Cambodia". Therefore, we (community) are so proud that in our have the bird (giant ibis and white shouldered ibis). He requested the community members to participate in conservation the endangered bird species all together for our community.</p> <p>At that time, Mr Nou Meng, chief of community, reported about the achievement of the project in the community. After finished workshop, we had group discussion with participants that more focus on community participation in giant</p>

			<p>ibis and white shouldered ibis protection and conservation. Below some questions rose during group discussion: (i). Why is the Rufford project interested in Giant ibis and White shouldered ibis in their community?; (ii). Does the Rufford project continue to step two or not?; and (iii). They request to the Rufford Project should continue to support next step because it is very important for helping community management and protecting natural resources in their community.</p> <p>At the same day, I interviewed community members, villagers and rangers about Rufford Conservation Project, especially about giant ibis and white shouldered ibis conservation and other items in the their community forest. They were interested in the project because it is the first time that they had seen the project signboard in their village and in community forest about the giant ibis and white shouldered ibis conservation. More important, they said that they never known about the giant ibis and white shouldered ibis are representative bird for country "Animals as National Symbols of Cambodia". They will extension about the importance of giant ibis and white shouldered ibis to other communities/villages to participate in conservation rare endangered species for their community and country as well.</p>
--	--	--	---

2. Please explain any unforeseen difficulties that arose during the project and how these were tackled (if relevant).

According to the schedule/plan, the project was started in January 2015. However, the important activity has been postponed until February 2015 because of the community members and local authorities at that time they were busy with their farm work such as clearing grass, clearing small forest in the farm and preparing seed so on. Additionally, the project size is remote area that it is difficult to travel by motor or car, and also that area it's difficult to contact to local community and local authorities by phone or letter.

3. Briefly describe the three most important outcomes of your project.

Outcome1: It is the first time in the community area has project signboard with describe about giant ibis and white shouldered ibis conservation which more of community members and local authorities have never known the name of the giant ibis and white shouldered ibis and have never known about the value of bird species (Animals as National Symbols of Cambodia). With the two signboards (one signboard was erected in the community forest area and another one signboard was erected along the road in front of the community station) made them to surprise and

participate in the project. Furthermore, it is the first time in community had organised the consultation workshop with community members and stakeholders that more focus on the giant ibis and white shouldered ibis conservation.

Outcome2: Capacity building of the community committee members had been improved and empowered. Two committee members (a chief of community and a vice chief of community) attended the sub-national meeting on local people participation in REDD+ and natural resources management at Kratie province. During that time, they had shared and disseminated in their community have potential about the giant ibis and white shouldered ibis in their community forest areas. Two committee members (a vice chief of community and patrolling team leader of community) were attended the sub-national meeting on national protected areas strategic management plan (NPASMP) at Siem Reap province. They shared and disseminated about their community have experience about local community members participation in forest resources and giant ibis and white shouldered ibis. Furthermore, chief of community was participated in the national workshop on NPASMP at in Phnom Penh. He shared about the importance of project supports the community activities that more focus on natural resources management (forest resources and wildlife). Additionally, administration and extension of community was participated in the National Meeting on "Our Community, Our Hope". she shared about the community protect both forest resources and wildlife in the community forest not only present but for next generation, especially Giant ibis and White shouldered ibis that representative bird for the country "Animals as National Symbols of Cambodia".

Outcome3: Community network such as collaboration, cooperation, trust and solidarity among local community members, local authorities and local institutions has been enhanced. The community has received good support from community members, local authorities, local institution and provincial department of environment of Rattanakiri province) to enhance community natural resources through community rules, regulations and management plan, and to improve local livelihoods.

4. Briefly describe the involvement of local communities and how they have benefitted from the project (if relevant).

The Orey Community Protected Area (OCPA) has 10 committee members. They are the most active and the most involve in the project active because they have got incentive monthly salary from the project. However, they work very hard since have been established the community until now. They go to patrol (forest resources and wildlife, especially giant ibis and white shouldered ibis protection). Moreover, they work for various activities of the projects and community activities. Besides, more than 700 families are member of the community. They get benefit from the community direct (they can harvest forest timbers for their house building and other using in the family, they can harvest non-timber forest productions for improve daily livelihoods) and indirect (good environment, reduce climate change). More than this, through the Rufford Project the community members, local authorities and local institutions , they work more cooperation and collaboration together for participation in natural resources management (forest resources management and wildlife conservation) and they be more solidarity in the community.

5. Are there any plans to continue this work?

As stated in number (9), the community just established and approved from the MoE in 2014. The main purpose of community establishing is natural resources management (forestry and wildlife,

especially giant ibis and white shouldered ibis conservation) and local livelihood improvement. With above reasons, the community have requested as the following:

- 1). Local community committee members (representative of the community) to put forward their project proposal for financial support for the next step because their community have more work/plan to do in the future such as capacity building, patrolling strengthening (nest/baby of giant ibis and white shouldered ibis in the community forest), protection natural habitats/ponds where shelter/feeding of all kind of wildlife, community boundary demarcation, community border demarcation.
- 2). Local authority and technical line agency (Lumphat Wildlife Sanctuary Unit and provincial department of environment of Rattanakiri province) would be most grateful if the grant is provided to further giant ibis and white shouldered ibis conservation and other natural resources in the community forest areas.
- 3).The project proposal is under discussion and development for submitting to the RGS next step.

6. How do you plan to share the results of your work with others?

- 1).The report of this project is posted at the Rufford Small Grant Website where the public can be accessible (download as a reference/as a documentary).
- 2). Additionally, the report will translate in Khmer language for sharing to stakeholders such as community members, local authorities, Provincial Department of Environment (PDE) of Rattanakiri province and the Department of Community Protected Area (CPA) the Ministry of Environment. On behalf of working group, we would like to welcome comments from all readers.

7. Timescale: Over what period was the RSG used? How does this compare to the anticipated or actual length of the project?

The RSG was run over the period of 12 months (February/2015-February/2016) as planned and proposed. However, some activities have been needed and added due to its very important which originally did not mention in the work plans/activities.

8. Budget: Please provide a breakdown of budgeted versus actual expenditure and the reasons for any differences. All figures should be in £ sterling, indicating the local exchange rate used.

Item	Budgeted Amount	Actual Amount	Difference	Comments
Project staff				
Mr Heng Hong (40€/month x12=480€)	480€	480€	0	Project team leader
Mr Nou Meng (15€/month x12=180€)	180€	180€	0	Community committee member(Proposed in budget plan)

Mr Lay Pok (15€/month x12=180€)	180€	180€	0	Community committee member(Proposed in budget plan)
Miss Sok Khim (15€/month x12=180€)	180€	180€	0	Community committee member(Proposed in budget plan)
Mr Leoung Meoun (15€/month x12=180€)	180€	180€	0	Community committee member(Proposed in budget plan)
Mr Mr. Sin Teoun (15€/month x12=180€)	180€	180€	0	Community committee member(Proposed in budget plan)
Mr Ki Thy (15€/month x12=180€)	180€	180€	0	Community committee member(Proposed in budget plan)
Mr Than Kony (15€/month x12=180€)	180€	180€	0	Community committee member(Proposed in budget plan)
Mr Chey Tit (15€/month x12=180€)	180€	180€	0	Community committee member(Proposed in budget plan)
Mr Chan Sopheap (15€/month x12=180€)	180€	180€	0	Internship Research Student (Proposed in budget plan)
Miss Phai Kimyin (15€/month x12=180€)	180€	180€	0	Internship Research Student (proposed in budget plan)
Mr Leng Sibou (10€/month x12=120€)	120€	120€	0	Sub-community committee member (not proposed in budget plan)
Mr Lan Thy (10€/month x12=120€)	120€	120€	0	Sub-community committee member (not proposed in budget plan)
Mr Phat Savouth (10€/month x12=120€)	120€	120€	0	Sub-community committee member (not proposed in budget plan)
Ms Nou Monorum (10€/month x12=120€)	120€	120€	0	Sub-community committee member (not proposed in budget plan)
Ms Ly Soki (10€/month x12=120€)	120€	120€	0	Sub-community committee member (not proposed in budget plan)
Bought 1 new camera (Sony) (Required, but not proposed in budget plan)	105€	105€	0	This amount of money was not proposed in the budget plan, but the camera it's very necessary using in the project/community activities such as meeting, patrolling and other project event in the community activities.
Consultation meeting with community committee members and key stakeholders to inform the new project	500€	398€	+102€	The objective of the meeting was to inform local community members and other key stakeholders about the new project on giant ibis and white shouldered ibis conservation in the community forest.
Promote/public campaigns awareness of the project (Required, but not proposed in budget plan)	295€	295€	0	This amount of money was not proposed in the budget plan, but it is necessary because we want the public (community members in five villages) know about the new project and want them to participate in the project activities/goals. Public awareness have

				been launched by the community committee members/sub-committee and local authorities
Produce two signboards on the site (Required, but not proposed in budget plan)	100£	100£	0	This amount of money was not proposed in the budget plan, but signboard it is very important to public for their participating in project and participating in wildlife conservation. The two project signboards have been produced and one erected in the community forest and other one along the road where it is easy to see.
Transportation (car ticket from Phnom Penh to Rattanakiri province and rental motor from town to project site) (Required, but not proposed in budget plan)	185£	185£	0	This amount of money was not proposed in the budget plan, but it is a way for field work such as field monitoring, field evaluation and field interview it needed because we want to see forest resources, to see giant ibis and white shouldered ibis, to see habitat for wildlife breeding/feeding, and also to know about villagers/community members interested in the project.
Participation in meeting/workshop of community committee members	250£	250£	0	This amount of money was not proposed in the budget plan, but to participate in the meeting/workshop it a very important for sharing and disseminating to the meeting about giant ibis and white shouldered ibis conservation, especially about the RSG mission in natural resources and biodiversity.
Consultation workshop on evaluation and reflection of the project	345£	345£	0	To get comments/reflections from stakeholders and in order to develop next step/activities.
Documents/translate in Khmer language /sharing/dissemination submit to Rufford and other stakeholders	300£	300£	0	For sharing/submitting to other stakeholders.
TOTAL	4960£	4858£	+102£	The community committee members and local authorities would like to request to the director of RSGF that the balance of +102£ be allowed to use for their administrative work, for participation in other meetings and especially for gasoline to patrol in the community forest.

9. Looking ahead, what do you feel are the important next steps?

The Orey Community Protected Area (OCPA) was established in January 2014 with participation in five villages more than 700 families. The community was approved from the Ministry of Environment (MoE) in March 2014 to now be only more than 2 years. So with this duration, it is only short-time for community members and other stakeholder to understand about concept of natural resources management and wildlife conservation. To ensure its long-term success, there are some cores aspects have been set to continue this work as below:

- The project should build capacity of community members committee and other stakeholders in order they understand more about the value of participation in natural resources management, especially the value of giant ibis and white shouldered ibis conservation.
- To support the above the statement the project must continue to provide training course related to the value of participation in decision-making, the value of participation in community activities (patrolling, take care of the nests/eggs/baby of giant ibis and white shouldered ibis, meetings) and other value of contribution for public benefit.
- The above works/activities will more help the community, local authorities and local institutions to harmonise together to develop plan/activities to protect and conserve natural resources and, especially the giant ibis and white shouldered ibis conservation in their community forest areas as well as in the whole of Lumphat Wildlife Sanctuary.

10. Did you use the RSGF logo in any materials produced in relation to this project? Did the RSGF receive any publicity during the course of your work

Yes, the RSGF was used during the stakeholder's consultation meeting, the public campaigns to five villages, during stakeholder's consultation on evaluation and reflection workshop, and also was printed on signboards erected on ground of the community forest area and the village area which is visible to the public.

Additional, the RSGF was also used during stakeholder's interview about giant ibis and white shouldered ibis conservation and protection project and other items in the community.

11. Any other comments?

On behalf of the OCPA committee, community advisor and local authorities, I would like to express my profound and sincere gratitude to the Ruffor Small Grant Foundation (RSGF) for its financial support to make the project run smoothly, effectively and successfully. And I am also to express my thanks to Dr Dok Doma, Professor of Royal University of Phnom Penh (RUPP) for his introducing the grant and advice guidance to apply for the RSGF. My special thanks also go to Dr Moeko Saito Jensen, Program Analyst, UN-REDD/FCPF/Cambodia, and Mr Lay Sothy, Partnership Program Coordinator for ACR/Caritas Australia (ACR/CA) and Mrs Hou Kalyan, Country Director, Regional Community Forestry Training Centre (RECOFTC) for providing some comments during the project proposal development and implementation.

Furthermore, I would like to show my deep appreciation and thanks to Mr Torn Sovanny, chief of natural resources and extension office and representative of the Provincial Department of

Environment (PDE) of Rattanakiri province and sincerer thanks to Mr Ou Sothy, director of the Lumphat Wildlife Sanctuary (LWS) and other colleagues for their cooperation and collaboration with the working group in making the project successfully completed. And never forget to thank go to local community and local authorities (Mr Nou Meng, chief of Orey Community Protected Area and Mr Min Srun, chief of Sereymomgle commune) and other colleagues for their active collaboration and participation in making the project run smoothly, effectively and successfully.

Additional point I would like to raise is that being a team leader (project manager), I have learned that unforeseen at the first time a few villagers they didn't understand about the giant ibis and white shouldered ibis conservation project, they try to obstacle to the project activities, they don't want to participate in the project due to loss their own benefit (logging). After they understand about the Rufford Conservation Project, they more active participation in working group and community members.

Below Annex 1-3

Annex: 1

List of Orey Community Protected Area Committee and Sub-Committee Members

No	Name	Sex	Occupation
1	Nou Meng	M	Chief of community committee member
2	Lay Pok	M	Vice chief of community committee member
3	Sok Khim	F	Administration and Accountant of community committee member
4	Leoung Meoun	M	Extension of community committee member
5	Sin Teoun	M	Patrolling team of community committee member
6	Ki Thy	M	Community committee member
7	Than kony	M	Community committee member
8	Chet Tit	M	Community committee member
6	Leng Sibou	M	Sub-committee community member
7	Lan Thy	M	Sub-committee community member
8	Phat Savouth	M	Sub-committee community member
9	Ly Soki	F	Sub-committee community member
10	Nou Monorom	F	Sub-committee community member

Annex: 2

List of Participants

Consultative Meeting with Local Community Committee Members and Keys Stakeholders on New Project on Giant ibis and White-shouldered ibis Conservation
2 May 2015 at Serymongle Commune Station, Konmom District Mundulkiri Province

No	Name	Sex	Occupation	Organization
1	Nou Meng	M	Chief of community committee	Community
2	Lay Pok	M	Vice chief of community committee	Community
3	Sok Khim	F	Ad/accountant of community committee	Community
4	Leoung Meoun	M	Extension of community committee	Community
5	Sin Teoun	M	Patrolling team of community	Community
6	Ki Thy	M	Member of committee	Community
7	Than kony	M	Member of committee	Community
8	Chet Tit	M	Member of committee	Community
6	Leng Sibou	M	Sub-committee member	Community
7	Lan Thy	M	Sub-committee member	Community
8	Phat Savouth	M	Sub-committee member	Community
9	Ly Soki	M	Sub-committee member	Community
10	Nou Monorom	F	Sub-committee member	Community
11	Bak Pov	M	Chief of police station	Serymongle commune
12	Phorn Sarorn	M	Village chief	Srephorkthom village
13	Thouy Sophan	M	Community member	Community
14	Ki Sinthouey	M	Community member	Community
15	Sa Kreng	M	Community member	Community
16	Duk Channy	M	Village chief	Lengdaiy village
17	Nget Sophouen	M	Commune council member	Trapengchres commune
18	Phan Savorn	M	Commune council member	Serymongle commune
19	Min Srun	M	Chief of commune	Serymongle commune
20	Torn Sovanny	M	Chief of natural resource office	PDE
21	Chan Sopheap	M	Internship student	
22	Ou Sothy	M	Director	PWS
23	Heng Hong	M	Team leader	

Annex: 3

List of Participants

Consultative Workshop with Local Community Committee Members and Keys Stakeholders on Evaluation and Reflection of the Project on Giant ibis and White-shouldered ibis Conservation 9 February 2015 in Buddhism Pagoda located in the community

No	Name	Sex	Occupation	Organization
1	Maiy Srey	F	Community member	Community
2	Horm Thi	F	Community member	Community
3	Bon Hun	F	Community member	Community
4	Brak Chanry	F	Community member	Community
5	Ny Vanna	F	Community member	Community
6	Ann Brose	M	Community member	Community
7	Ban Brose	F	Community member	Community
8	Chea Vun	M	Community member	Community
9	Nith Ny	F	Community member	Community
10	Kreth Vanna	F	Community member	Community
11	Krin Sina	F	Community member	Community
12	Meas Virak	M	Community member	Community
13	Srey Vin	F	Community member	Community
14	Chea Kouen	M	Community member	Community
15	Naiy Leng	F	Community member	Community
16	Kham Phorn	F	Community member	Community
17	Lina khley	F	Community member	Community
18	Kin Saron	F	Community member	Community
19	Sar Kuny	F	Community member	Community
20	Sun Mom	F	Community member	Community
21	Nouv Pheng	F	Community member	Community
22	Khom Odorm	M	Community member	Community
23	Hong khna	F	Community member	Community
24	Nou Meng	M	Chief of community committee	Community
25	Heng Hong	M	Project team leader	
26	Lay Pok	M	Vice chief of community committee	Community
27	Sok Khim	F	Ad/accountant of community committee	Community
28	Leoung Meoun	M	Extension of community committee	Community
29	Sin Teoun	M	Patrolling team of community	Community
30	Ki Thy	M	Member of committee	Community
31	Than kony	M	Member of committee	Community
32	Chet Tit	M	Member of committee	Community
33	Leng Sibou	M	Sub-committee member	Community
34	Lan Thy	M	Sub-committee member	Community
35	Phat Savouth	M	Sub-committee member	Community

36	Ly Soki	M	Sub-committee member	Community
37	Nou Monorom	F	Sub-committee member	Community
38	Ou Sothy	M	director	LWS
39	Phorn Sarorn	M	Village chief	Srephorkthom village
40	Thouy Sophan	M	Community member	Community
41	Ki Sinthouey	M	Community member	Community
42	Sa Kreng	M	Community member	Community
43	Duk Channy	M	Village chief	Lengdaiy village
44	Nget Sophouen	M	Commune council member	Trapengchres commune
45	Phan Savorn	M	Commune council member	Serymongle commune