

The Rufford Foundation Final Report

Congratulations on the completion of your project that was supported by The Rufford Foundation.

We ask all grant recipients to complete a Final Report Form that helps us to gauge the success of our grant giving. The Final Report must be sent in **word format** and not PDF format or any other format. We understand that projects often do not follow the predicted course but knowledge of your experiences is valuable to us and others who may be undertaking similar work. Please be as honest as you can in answering the questions – remember that negative experiences are just as valuable as positive ones if they help others to learn from them.

Please complete the form in English and be as clear and concise as you can. Please note that the information may be edited for clarity. We will ask for further information if required. If you have any other materials produced by the project, particularly a few relevant photographs, please send these to us separately.

Please submit your final report to <u>jane@rufford.org</u>.

Thank you for your help.

Josh Cole, Grants Director

| Grant Recipient Details | |
|-------------------------|--|
| Your name | Gurgen Khanamirian |
| Project title | Expansion of Prime Butterfly Area network in Armenia |
| RSG reference | 17389-2 |
| Reporting period | May 2015 – Aug 2016 |
| Amount of grant | £5000 |
| Your email address | gug.khanamirian@gmail.com |
| Date of this report | 25 th of December 2016 |



1. Please indicate the level of achievement of the project's original objectives and include any relevant comments on factors affecting this.

| Objective | Not achieved | Partially achieved | Fully achieved | Comments |
|--|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------|--|
| Identify the areas important for the protection of butterflies on locations Gnisheek, Ourtsadzor and Artanish-Shorzha as potential PBA, and to analyse their conditions. | | | | Descriptions of three Prime Butterfly Areas (PBAs) have been compiled and submitted for the approval by Butterfly Conservation Europe (BCE). Initially planned nine to 12 PBAs have been merged into three units; the total area of PBAs is increased in comparison to the proposed one. The total proposed area was 75 sq. km, while the achieved one makes 152.5 sq. km (more than twice). Gnishik area makes 29.2 sq. km instead of proposed 20 sq. km. Description is presented online at: http://www.butterflyconservation-armenia.org/gnisheek.html Ourtsadzor location makes 61.2 sq. km instead of proposed 15 sq. km. Description is presented online at: http://www.butterfly-conservation-armenia.org/ourtsadzor.html Ardanish-Shorzha location makes 62.1 sq. km instead of proposed 40 sq. km. Description is presented online at: http://www.butterfly-conservation-armenia.org/artanish-shorzha.html Note: the total area of PBAs is exceeding the proposed one. |
| Approve the status of the PBA for selected sites with Butterfly Conservation Europe (BCE). | | | | The proposed PBAs have been approved with the Butterfly Conservation Europe BCE. The results have been discussed during the last annual meeting of BCE in Wageningen (March 2016), which was attended by the project member – Karen Aghababyan |



| Prepare justification for including the PBAs into list of Emerald Sites, and submit those to the focal point of the Bern Convention in Armenia. | The justifications of the areas have been supplemented by the description about bird species diversity and have been discussed during biogeography seminar dedicated to Emerald Network (Tbilisi, Nov 2015). Then the sites have been proposed to the focal point of the Bern Convention in Armenia. Then the areas were finally revised by representatives of Secretariat of the Bern Convention during the last Biogeography Seminar dedicated to Emerald Network (Tbilisi, Dec 2016). Note: In addition, since the opportunity appears – the question was discussed with the representatives of the Bern Convention Secretariat. |
|---|--|
| Clarify status of IUCN red-listed species in the PBA and provide information to IUCN SSC. | A report about the state of IUCN listed species in PBA is submitted to IUCN SSC. The distribution range and population trends on three IUCN species: Parnassius apollo, Phengaris (Maculinea) arion, Phengaris (Maculinea) nausithous, where calculated not only for the PBAs as it was proposed, but also for entire country. The information is compiled in a form of species accounts, and is published online, see at: http://www.butterfly-conservation-armenia.org/parnassius-apollo.html http://www.butterfly-conservation-armenia.org/maculinea-arion.html http://www.butterfly-conservation-armenia.org/maculinea-nausithous.html http://www.butterfly-conservation-accessed . The information on those species is published in a form of species accounts online, see at: http://www.butterfly-conservation-armenia.org/parnassius-mnemosyne.html |



| | | | http://www.butterfly-conservation- |
|----------------------|-----|---|--|
| | | | armenia.org/papilio-alexanor.html |
| | | | |
| | | | Note: In addition, since the Papilionidae |
| | | | · |
| | | | species have been recently prioritised by |
| | | | IUCN SSC, two more accounts have been |
| | | | prepared. |
| Develop routes for | | T | Three new butterfly-watching trails have |
| butterfly-watching | | | been identified and charted. The |
| in selected PBA and | | | information about the trails was published |
| display them on the | | | online, see here: |
| 1 ' ' | | | |
| site Butterfly | | | https://www.google.com/maps/d/edit?hl |
| Conservation | | | <u>=en&authuser=0∣=1mlFXAOaETI0wJUU</u> |
| Armenia. | | | Dk7KptqFGS6Y≪=40.84046573719634%2C4 |
| | | | 4.77791309224858&z=8 |
| To design guides on | | | The trail guiding brochures for each of the |
| routes of butterfly- | | | province have been designed and |
| watching in PBA | | | printed and provided to the lake Sevan |
| | | | · |
| adjacent to | | | National Park, Gnishik community- |
| protected area. | | | managed Protected Area, and the |
| | | | Khosrov Forest Nature Reserve. |
| Develop and install | | | Six road signs (two per each PBA) aimed |
| road signs, warning | | | at informing tourists about butterfly species |
| about the entrance | | | diversity of the area, and meanwhile |
| to the territory of | | | warning local inhabitants about necessity |
| high conservation | | | of careful treating of the habitat are |
| | | | _ |
| importance. | | | designed, prepared, and installed with |
| | | | assistance of staff of Sevan National Park, |
| | | | Gnishik community-managed protected |
| | | | area, Khosrov Forest Nature Reserve, as |
| | | | well as with the help of local members of |
| | | | communities: Gnishik, Arpi, Areni, |
| | | | Urtsadzor, Shorzha, Artanish. |
| Conduct training to | | | The training in guiding of butterfly- |
| 1 | | | , |
| staff of the | | | watchers over the developed trails have |
| protected area on | | | been designed, the employees of |
| tour-guiding at | | | protected areas who can act as trail |
| butterfly-watching | | | guides have been selected; the training |
| trails. | | | was successfully conducted with six |
| | | | people (two persons per Protected Area). |
| Conduct a series of | | | Three excursions with the schoolkids have |
| 23114331 4 301103 01 | | | The series of the series and series are series and series and series and series are series are seri |
| | l Í | | |



| activities and | | been conducted. The excursions were |
|---------------------|--|--|
| excursions with | | attended by 30 schoolkids. In addition the |
| school students to | | work on the PBAs in Armenia was |
| explain the | | presented for the students of Yerevan |
| importance of the | | State University, American University of |
| area and the need | | Armenia, and Yerevan State Pedagogical |
| of butterfly | | University. The works have been |
| protection. | | highlighted through the Facebook page |
| | | of Butterfly Conservation Armenia (current |
| | | state – 492 members), through the |
| | | Wikipedia pages, and through the Butterfly |
| | | Conservation Armenia website (average |
| | | visits – 200-300 individual persons per |
| | | week) |
| Conduct a final | | The final seminar was hosted by Birding |
| seminar with | | Armenia Club – another activity of TSE |
| stakeholders to | | NGO. The meeting was attended by |
| present the results | | about 30 persons, among them - |
| of the project | | representatives of Bio-resource |
| (Ministry of Nature | | Management Agency of Ministry of Nature |
| Protection, Focal | | Protection, WWF Armenia, KfW Program on |
| Point of Bern | | development of Eco-corridors in Armenia, |
| Convention, | | Association of Young Biologists NGO, |
| Khosrov State | | Birdlife Armenia NGO, Yerevan State |
| Reserve, National | | University and Yerevan State Pedagogical |
| Park Sevan, | | University, representatives of two local |
| National Park | | tourism companies. |
| Gnisheek, | | |
| representatives of | | |
| environmental | | |
| NGO, Wildlife tour | | |
| agencies). | | |

2. Please explain any unforeseen difficulties that arose during the project and how these were tackled (if relevant).

Basically we did not face major obstacles. Negotiations with governmental officials and with administrations of protected areas went smoothly and helped in development of new collaborations.

There is only one difficulty related to publication of the planned article "Expansion of the Prime Butterfly Areas of Armenia", since beside the three PBAs assessed during



the implementation of the current project, there are other potential areas, which can serve as PBAs and we think that it would be effective for further fundraising to include those areas into the article too (at least at a map). Therefore initial selection of those potential PBAs slows down the process of article preparation.

Nevertheless the final design of the article was discussed and it is passing through the final steps of polishing.

3. Briefly describe the three most important outcomes of your project.

- Expansion of Prime Butterfly Areas with other 152.5 sq. km and their inclusion into the Emerald Network which gives them the status of international protection, and obliged our government to develop management plan for those areas
- Further development of infrastructure for Butterfly watching, which can become one of the important factors of financial sustainability of the surrounding communities and bring the livestock husbandry into the balance with the ecosystems' needs.
- Development of collaboration with protected areas and surrounding communities on further collaborative management of those areas. Thus we have already been contracted for implementation of monitoring of butterflies at Lake Sevan National Park and Artanish-Shorzha PBA; we have negotiated development of butterfly-watching in Gnishik community managed Protected Area (Gnishik PBA) and Khosrov Forest Nature Reserve (Ourtsadzor PBA).

4. Briefly describe the involvement of local communities and how they have benefitted from the project (if relevant).

The members of local communities have been involved at the stage of development of the information infrastructure (mostly youth and adults) and at the stage of excursions (mostly schoolkids). Local discussions with the representatives of six communities demonstrate their conservative readiness on gradual switching into ecosystem friendly business under our leadership. One of the bright examples of outcome of such discussion: production of rosehip juice that is made in support of species and habitat conservation, because it can partially substitute the livestock husbandry by decreasing intensity of grazing; because the farmers understand the link between healthy habitat – number of rare butterflies – flow of tourists – farmers' income.



5. Are there any plans to continue this work?

We plan to move in the following major directions:

- Further expansion of PBAs in Armenia up to 40 (at the first stage).
- Development of butterfly-watching as a mechanism of sustainability for our organization and rural communities.
- Further identification of species which can serve as indicators of ecosystems.
- Development of community based monitoring of indicator species for rapid assessment of ecosystems' wellbeing.
- Continuation of assessment of conservation statuses of endemic butterfly species at National Level and for IUCN SSC.

6. How do you plan to share the results of your work with others?

We consistently are sharing our results with:

- Network of Butterfly Conservation Europe through the personal communication online (via e-mail and Facebook groups) and offline (during Conferences, Workshops, and other meetings).
- Local development organizations such as United Nations Development Program (UNDP), German Organization for International Cooperation (GIZ), World Wide Foundation (WWF Armenia), and others through regular meetings.
- Local governmental institutions such as Ministry of Nature Protection, various Protected Areas, Research Institutes, and others through regular meetings.
- Local Universities such as Yerevan State University, Yerevan State Pedagogical University, Americal University of Armenia, and others through guest lecturing.
- Representatives of the local NGOs through regular meetings.

Our communication already allows:

- To receive a grant from UNDP Small Grant Program on development of infrastructure for butterfly-watching in Gnishik PBA.
- To become contracted by Lake Sevan National Park for implementation of butterfly monitoring.
- To become contracted by UNDP/GEF project "Mainstreaming Sustainable Land and Forest Management in Mountain Landscapes of North-Eastern Armenia" for development of forest monitoring tool based on butterfly indicator species.



7. Timescale: Over what period was The Rufford Foundation grant used? How does this compare to the anticipated or actual length of the project?

The grant was provided for the period of May 2015 till August 2016 (16 months). However it was necessary to promote the three PBAs at the last Emerald Biogeography Seminar, which was implemented in December of 2016; that is why the entire project length took longer than it was proposed (20 months).

8. Budget: Please provide a breakdown of budgeted versus actual expenditure and the reasons for any differences. All figures should be in £ sterling, indicating the local exchange rate used.

| Item | Budgeted Amount | Actual Amount | Difference | Comments |
|--|--------------------|------------------|------------|--|
| Salaries | 1483 | 1529 | -46 | fluctuations of exchange rate |
| Transportation | 1140 | 1277 | -137 | fluctuations of exchange rate + we traveled about 50 km more |
| Accommodation (food and hotel) | 829 | 917 | -88 | fluctuations of exchange rate |
| Information Materials (road signs and brochures) | 1280 | 1274 | 6 | fluctuations of exchange rate |
| Trainings and Seminars | 268 | 143 | 125 | fluctuations of exchange rate |
| Total | 5000 | 5140 | -140 | The difference was covered by the sources of TSE NGO |

The rate of 1 GBP = 694.85 AMD was used at the planning stage as of 5^{th} of Feb of 2015. Later the rate was varying throughout the year.

9. Looking ahead, what do you feel are the important next steps?

As during implementation of the first project we think that there are three important steps to achieve sustainability in conservation of butterflies and their habitats:

- 1. to continue development of the PBAs in Armenia and further in Caucasus and to secure their including into the Emerald Network and further preparation and implementation of the management plans per site;
- 2. to continue conduction of assessments of global conservation status of Caucasus endemic species of butterflies for IUCN Species Survival Commission



- and assessments of national conservation status of habitat specialist butterflies for the Ministry of nature Protection of RA;
- to continue building capacity for development of butterfly watching branch
 of wildlife tourism focusing on the staff of National Parks and local enthusiasts
 from rural communities; to build network between local service providers and
 tour agencies.

10. Did you use The Rufford Foundation logo in any materials produced in relation to this project? Did the RSGF receive any publicity during the course of your work?

The logo of the project was used at all six information boards placed at the three PBAs, at trail guiding brochures, at the presentation during the last seminar, and at the website http://www.butterfly-conservation-armenia.org/. The RSGF was acknowledged at the description of each of the PBA (see at http://www.butterfly-conservation-armenia.org/gnisheek.html, http://www.butterfly-conservation-armenia.org/ourtsadzor.html, http://www.butterfly-conservation-armenia.org/artanish-shorzha.html), and will be acknowledged in the article "Khanamirian et al 2017 Expansion of the network of Prime Butterfly Areas in Armenia".

11. Any other comments?

The current grant helped to build up on the success of the first grant and not just to expand the network of PBAs in Armenia but to significantly improve and increase the network with stakeholders. We were able to make a significant step towards the long-term goal of sustainable use of terrestrial ecosystems of Armenia and support conservation of unique and vulnerable communities of one of the most wonderful creatures at our earth – the butterflies.

Also we would like to acknowledge the great assistance of Ministry of Nature Protection, especially Bioresources Management Agency and especially ones of Dr Ashot Hovhannisyan (Head of the Agency) and Dr Aram Aghasyan (head of the Division of Specially Protected Natural Areas), the Khosrov Forest State Reserve, and its director Hrachya Hovakimyan, the National Park Lake Sevan, and its director Mr Vahe Gulanyan, the Gnishik community-managed protected area, and its president Arsen Matevosyan, as well as great help of WWF Armenia and its director Karen Manvelyan. Also we would like to express our gratitude to Martin Warren, Chris van Swaay, Irma Wynhoff, Sue Collins, Tom Brereton, Rudi Verovnik, Josef Settle and Martin Wiemers from the Butterfly Conservation Europe for their great consultancy of our works during implementation of the project.