

Final Evaluation Report

Your Details	
Full Name	Nay Myo Shwe
Project Title	Developing a local community based long term management for the Green Peafowl population in the non-protected <i>PweHla</i> region southern Shan state, Myanmar
Application ID	28172-2
Grant Amount	£4990
Email Address	nmshwe@googlemail.com
Date of this Report	3 July 2020



1. Indicate the level of achievement of the project's original objectives and include any relevant comments on factors affecting this.

Objective	Not achieved	Partially achieved	Fully achieved	Comments		
Survey for species long-term management:						
Detailed Survey				Peafowl detailed survey over the whole PweHla area every 2 years and well done.		
Monitoring				2) Monitoring a larger area will provide details on other populations inhabiting other habitat fragments with connectivity.		
Outreach:						
Assisting local farmer				Assisting local farmers in managing their crop, regulating the use of chemicals, and suggesting the use of alternative pest control methods.		
Calendar distribution				2) 1000 calendars distributed after drawing competition by school students. Twelve winner pictures printed on a year 2020 calendar.		
Collecting stray dogs				 25 free ranging dogs removed from the villages and monastery. 		

2. Please explain any unforeseen difficulties that arose during the project and how these were tackled.

During the project, nothing special unforeseen difficulties. Slightly consider social distancing and no religious events during COVID-19 pandemics.

3. Briefly describe the three most important outcomes of your project.

According to the project results, following are three most important outcomes.

- 1. Community awareness and strong participation in green peafowl conservation in their landscape.
- 2. Obviously highlight and alarm on importance of species outside legal protected areas.



- 3. Base on PHECAD activities in this approach, can expand to surrounding area for species and landscape conservation.
- 4. Briefly describe the involvement of local communities and how they have benefitted from the project.

Local communities' network under PHECAD team join in the species information collection and joined awareness and education events.

5. Are there any plans to continue this work?

Yes, I plan to continue this practice as snowball and extend for species conservation outside government legally protected areas. In accordance with existing new law of Protection of Biodiversity and Protected Area (2018) stated that can establish Community Conserve Area (CCA) which is led by local communities. It is my intention to apply for Booster Grant mainly to protect species by law.

6. How do you plan to share the results of your work with others?

I have a plan to publish in the peer reviewed journal which will help to reach more audience. And will share with related agencies during National Strategy Action Plan revise.

7. Timescale: Over what period was the grant used? How does this compare to the anticipated or actual length of the project?

The project was implemented from 28 June 2019 to 29 June 2020; the grant was used for 12 months but population estimate by line transect is ongoing until December 2020 by PHECAD.

8. Budget: Provide a breakdown of budgeted versus actual expenditure and the reasons for any differences. All figures should be in £ sterling, indicating the local exchange rate used. It is important that you retain the management accounts and all paid invoices relating to the project for at least 2 years as these may be required for inspection at our discretion.

Item	Budgeted Amount	Actual Amount	Difference	Comments
Refreshment for school's talk (4	320	280	-40	It was used for 12
primary schools x 80)				months
Drawing contest for calendar	190	190		
production (1 high school x190)				
Calendar printing and distribution	1400	1400		
(design and printing)				
Subsistence for staff	700	650	-50	



Gasoline	100	150	+50	
Stationary	100	100		
Communication	80	70	-10	
Filed allowance for local staff (PHECAD 4 staff x 25\$ x 12 months)	1200	1200		
Field allowance for FoW staff (1x 100 x 6 months)	600	600		
Transportation (YGN to field site)	300	350	+50	
GRAND TOTAL	4990	4990		

9. Looking ahead, what do you feel are the important next steps?

To establish species occurrence area as a Community Conserved Area (CCA) in accordance with the existing law after agreeing with communities.

10. Did you use The Rufford Foundation logo in any materials produced in relation to this project? Did the Foundation receive any publicity during the course of your work?

I will present my finding in next coming Rufford international workshop which will be held in Yangon on November 2020 and use Rufford logo accordingly.

11. Please provide a full list of all the members of your team and briefly what was their role in the project.

U ZayarSoe (FoW) who lead in field operation

U Khin Mg Oo (PHECAD) chair is focal person from local civil society.

U Poh Chit (PHECAD) secretary joined in every survey, awareness and education activities.

Ma Aye Yu Ngwe (PHECAD) member join in education and awareness talk, calendar distribution and survey.

Ma Nan Yaung (PHECAD) member join in education and awareness talk, calendar distribution and survey.

12. Any other comments?

I am deeply grateful to the Rufford Foundation for the financial support which made it possible for this project to achieve its valuable results. Without its support, I could not implement this project with regards on the species conservation in the landscape. I believe there is a strong need to continue this type of project where species outside of legal protected area in the country.