

The Rufford Small Grants Foundation

Final Report

Congratulations on the completion of your project that was supported by The Rufford Small Grants Foundation.

We ask all grant recipients to complete a Final Report Form that helps us to gauge the success of our grant giving. The Final Report must be sent in **word format** and not PDF format or any other format. We understand that projects often do not follow the predicted course but knowledge of your experiences is valuable to us and others who may be undertaking similar work. Please be as honest as you can in answering the questions – remember that negative experiences are just as valuable as positive ones if they help others to learn from them.

Please complete the form in English and be as clear and concise as you can. Please note that the information may be edited for clarity. We will ask for further information if required. If you have any other materials produced by the project, particularly a few relevant photographs, please send these to us separately.

Please submit your final report to jane@rufford.org.

Thank you for your help.

Josh Cole, Grants Director

Grant Recipient Details	
Your name	Ahmad Mukminin
Project title	Developing an ecosystem approach to fisheries management for ecological sustainability and food security in Weh Island
RSG reference	32.07.09
Reporting period	February 2010 – March 2011
Amount of grant	£5375
Your email address	ahmadmukminin@gmail.com
Date of this report	March 30, 2011

1. Please indicate the level of achievement of the project's original objectives and include any relevant comments on factors affecting this.

Objective	Not achieved	Partially achieved	Fully achieved	Comments
Determine vision of fisheries management in Weh Island that has ecosystem approach			Fully achieved	Vision reef fisheries management is based on the study reef fisheries management and then communicated to the government and the community to be adopted
Formulating fisheries management approach indicators; Maximum Sustainable Yield, number of optimum appropriate fishing gear with ecosystem approach, fisheries institutional and management plan concept.			Fully achieved	Indicators of fisheries management is based on the study reef fisheries management and the indicators have been adopted into government policy
Increase community and local government capacity in adopting and implementing ecosystem approach to fisheries in Weh Island			Fully achieved	Currently being conducted the preparation of policy documents to adopt the vision of the ecosystem approach to fisheries management, the adoption is in the form of a strategic plan for integrated coastal management in Pulau Weh
Develop learning document from all of process as tools in developing ecosystem approach to fisheries management			Fully achieved	Learning document socialised to the national government for replication to other parts of Indonesia

2. Please explain any unforeseen difficulties that arose during the project and how these were tackled (if relevant).

Unforeseen difficulty is to convince local governments to adopt the results of studies into the policy document; this is related to priority, timeline work, and budgetting local governments. Local governments prioritise infrastructure development activities than making policy documents, so it needs a serious approach to convince the local government.

In August and September 2010 is the month of fasting time for Muslim communities, all stakeholders advised not to conduct activities in this month, so as this project a little late.

3. Briefly describe the three most important outcomes of your project.

- The project resulted in a comprehensive study on the management of reef fisheries in Pulau Weh which can be used as a basis for making policy documents.
- Together with other institutions of this project produced policy documents about the condition of coastal resources, particularly reef fish resources and their utilization as well as strategic planning of coastal zone management
- The project resulted in learning document reef fisheries management with an ecosystem approach that can be used to implement the ecosystem approach in fisheries management in Indonesia

4. Briefly describe the involvement of local communities and how they have benefited from the project (if relevant).

In this project has involved much community, because community in Pulau Weh is a major component in particular indigenous peoples commonly called sea commander. Involvement takes the form of joint community survey, discussions to prepare the request input in policy documents, and discussions for socialisation results of the study.

This project together with other organizations to help community in developing marine protected areas managed by indigenous peoples.

5. Are there any plans to continue this work?

Yes, I plan to continue this work together with partners, of which I will continue the strengthening of community and local government

6. How do you plan to share the results of your work with others?

One result of this project is the learning document that not only the share to local partners, but also to the national government to be shared to other local governments in Indonesia

7. Timescale: Over what period was the RSG used? How does this compare to the anticipated or actual length of the project?

The project was completed in 12 months as planned; the project was delayed two months because in August and September there is no activity.

8. Budget: Please provide a breakdown of budgeted versus actual expenditure and the reasons for any differences. All figures should be in £ sterling, indicating the local exchange rate used.

Item	Budgeted Amount	Actual Amount	Difference	Comments
Travel and Accommodation	500	477	23	There are differences in currency values when the development of proposal and the proposal is approved. Implementation of
Equipment	313	311	2	
Fisheries Assessment	313	345	(33)	
Ecology Assessment	313	345	(33)	

Expert Meeting	250	242	8	activities using the value of currencies accepted except for the salary, so for salary there quite a big differences between the budgeted amount and actual amount. But in general; not much different when used the local currency (IDR)
Stakeholder Meeting	500	497	3	
Capacity Building	625	719	(94)	
Produce Material	750	774	(24)	
Disemination	125	124	1	
Stationary	113	113		
Communication	75	75		
Salary	1500	1658	(158)	
Total	5,375	5680	(305)	

9. Looking ahead, what do you feel are the important next steps?

Important next step is strengthening community and local governments in managing their fishery resources

10. Did you use the RSGF logo in any materials produced in relation to this project? Did the RSGF receive any publicity during the course of your work?

Yes I use RSGF logo. I publiced two documents that use RSGF logo;

- Policy document; Atlas Sumberdaya Pesisir Kota Sabang (Coastal Resources Atlas of Sabang), link: <http://www.ziddu.com/download/13744758/SabangCoastalResourcesAtlas.pdf.html>

- Learning document; Link: <http://www.ziddu.com/download/14419319/LearningDocument.pdf.html>

11. Any other comments?

This project has produced other documents that are in the stage of public consultation. This document has been approved by local governments, and is currently in the process of public consultation with communities to be finalised.